## DVING 13 LOSNINGSFORSLAG.

Oppgare 1.

The relativistic generalisation of Larmours formula reads:

µ2 1, · · · 4.

Sum over equal uidicies.

$$E = \gamma mc^{2} \quad \vec{p} = \gamma m\vec{\sigma} \quad \vec{\alpha} = \frac{dt}{dt}$$

$$t = \sqrt{1 - \sqrt{2}c^{2}}$$

$$\frac{dpr}{dt} = \frac{-1}{c^2} \left(\frac{d\overline{c}}{dt}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{d\overline{p}}{dt}\right)^2$$

We have to calculate de and de

$$\frac{df}{dt} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{i} + \sum_{i=1}$$

Next:

$$\frac{dpn dpr}{dt} = y^8 m^2 c^2 \left( -(\overline{B} \cdot \overline{\beta})^2 + (1 - \overline{B}^2)^2 \overline{\beta}^2 + 2(1 - \overline{B}^2)(\overline{B} \cdot \overline{\beta})^2 + \beta^2 (\overline{B} \cdot \overline{\beta})^2 \right) \\
+ 2(1 - \overline{B}^2)(\overline{B} \cdot \overline{\beta})^2 + \beta^2 (\overline{B} \cdot \overline{\beta})^2 \right) \\
= y^8 m^2 c^2 \left( (1 - \overline{B}^2) \overline{\beta}^2 + (1 - \overline{B}^2)(\overline{B} \cdot \overline{\beta})^2 \right) \overline{\beta} (1 - \overline{B}^2) \\
= y^8 m^2 c^2 \left( (1 - \overline{B}^2) \overline{\beta}^2 + (\overline{B} \cdot \overline{\beta})^2 \right) \overline{\beta} (1 - \overline{B}^2)$$

Call the angle between  $\overline{\beta}$  and  $\overline{\beta}$   $\overline{\beta}$   $\overline{\beta}$   $\overline{\beta}^2$   $\overline$ 

This can be written as

Yburcz[ \$= (3x3)2]

So finally

P = \frac{1}{677} \frac{1}{3}^2 - (\beta \times \beta \times \beta \beta

## Problem 12.52

 $\partial_{\nu}F^{\mu\nu}=\mu_{0}J^{\mu}. \quad \text{ Differentiate: } \partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}F^{\mu\nu}=\mu_{0}\partial_{\mu}J^{\mu}.$ 

But  $\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu} = \partial_{\nu}\partial_{\mu}$  (the combination is symmetric) while  $F^{\nu\mu} = -F^{\mu\nu}$  (antisymmetric).

 $\therefore \partial_{\mu} \bar{\partial}_{\nu} F^{\mu\nu} = 0$ . [Why? Well, these indices are both summed from  $0 \to 3$ , so it doesn't matter which we call  $\mu$ , which  $\nu$ :  $\partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} F^{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\nu} \partial_{\mu} F^{\nu\mu} = \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} (-F^{\mu\nu}) = -\partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$ . But if a quantity is equal to minus itself, it must be zero.] Conclusion:  $\partial_{\mu} J^{\mu} = 0$ . qed

## Problem 12.53

We know that  $\partial_{\nu}G^{\mu\nu}=0$  is equivalent to the two homogeneous Maxwell equations,  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}=0$  and  $\nabla \times \mathbf{E}=-\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}$ . All we have to show, then, is that  $\partial_{\lambda}F_{\mu\nu}+\partial_{\mu}F_{\nu\lambda}+\partial_{\nu}F_{\lambda\mu}=0$  is also equivalent to them. Now this equation stands for 64 separate equations ( $\mu=0\to 3$ ,  $\nu=0\to 3$ ,  $\lambda=0\to 3$ , and  $4\times 4\times 4=64$ ). But many of them are redundant, or trivial.

Suppose two indices are the same (say,  $\mu = \nu$ ). Then  $\partial_{\lambda} F_{\mu\mu} + \partial_{\mu} F_{\mu\lambda} + \partial_{\mu} F_{\lambda\mu} = 0$ . But  $F_{\mu\mu} = 0$  and  $F_{\mu\lambda} = -F_{\lambda\mu}$ , so this is trivial: 0 = 0. To get anything significant, then,  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$ ,  $\lambda$  must all be different. They could be all spatial  $(\mu, \nu, \lambda = 1, 2, 3 = x, y, z$ — or some permutation thereof), or one temporal and two spatial  $(\mu = 0, \nu, \lambda = 1, 2 \text{ or } 2, 3, \text{ or } 1, 3$ — or some permutation). Let's examine these two cases separately.

All spatial: say,  $\mu = 1$ ,  $\nu = 2$ ,  $\lambda = 3$  (other permutations yield the same equation, or minus it).

$$\partial_3 F_{12} + \partial_1 F_{23} + \partial_2 F_{31} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (B_z) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (B_x) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (B_y) = 0 \Rightarrow \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0.$$

One temporal: say,  $\mu = 0$ ,  $\nu = 1$ ,  $\lambda = 2$  (other permutations of these indices yield the same result, or minus it).

$$\partial_2 F_{01} + \partial_0 F_{12} + \partial_1 F_{20} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( -\frac{E_x}{c} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial (ct)} (B_z) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{E_y}{c} \right) = 0,$$

or  $-\frac{\partial B_z}{\partial t} + \left(\frac{\partial E_x}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial E_y}{\partial x}\right) = 0$ , which is the z component of  $-\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = \nabla \times \mathbf{E}$ . (If  $\mu = 0$ ,  $\nu = 1$ ,  $\lambda = 2$ , we get the y component; for  $\nu = 2$ ,  $\lambda = 3$  we get the x component.)

Conclusion:  $\partial_{\lambda}F_{\mu\nu} + \partial_{\mu}F_{\nu\lambda} + \partial_{\nu}F_{\lambda\mu} = 0$  is equivalent to  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$  and  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = -\nabla \times \mathbf{E}$ , and hence to  $\partial_{\nu}G^{\mu\nu} = 0$ . qed

## Problem 12.54

 $K^0 = q\eta_{\nu}F^{0\nu} = q(\eta_1F^{01} + \eta_2F^{02} + \eta_3F^{03}) = q(\boldsymbol{\eta} \cdot \mathbf{E})/c = \frac{q}{c}\gamma\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{E}$ . Now from Eq. 12.71 we know that  $K^0 = \frac{1}{c}\frac{dW}{d\tau}$ , where W is the energy of the particle. Since  $d\tau = \frac{1}{\gamma}dt$ , we have:

$$\frac{1}{c}\gamma \frac{dW}{dt} = \frac{q}{c}\gamma(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{E}) \Rightarrow \boxed{\frac{dW}{dt} = q(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{E}).}$$

This says the power delivered to the particle is force  $(q\mathbf{E})$  times velocity  $(\mathbf{u})$  — which is as it should be.